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Abstract: Database encryption is a well established technology for protecting sensitive data. Unfortunately, the integration of existing encryption techniques with database systems causes undesirable performance degradation. It is a crucial technique in the security mechanisms of database. In this paper we propose a new encryption algorithm, which we call Reverse Encryption Algorithm (REA). Our new encryption algorithm REA is simple and yet leads to a cipher. It has achieved security requirements and is fast enough for most applications. REA algorithm is limiting the added time cost for encryption and decryption to don't degrade the performance of a database system. Also, we evaluate the performance of the proposed encryption algorithm REA and compare with the most common encryption algorithms. The performance measure of encryption schemes will be conducted in terms of encryption / decryption time. Experiment results show that our new algorithm outperforms other algorithms at encryption and decryption time.
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1. Introduction

In most organizations, databases hold a critical concentration of sensitive information, and as a result, databases are vulnerable, therefore database system should be protected from any attacks. Today, enhancing the security of a database is becoming one of the most urgent tasks in database research and industry. Thus, many organizations cannot work properly if their database is down; they are normally referred to as mission critical system. Along with the wide application of database comes the need for its protection. Universally, huge amount of effort, time and resources are been spent in trying to make database systems meet security requirements normally include [2]: 1). Prevention of unauthorized disclosure and modification of information. 2). Prevent denial of service. 3). Prevent system penetration by unauthorized person. 4). Prevent the abuse of special privileges.

Designing a database that will achieve these security requirements is very difficult, since a database system processes large amount of data in complex ways. The result is that most conventional database systems have leaks that an attacker can use to penetrate the database. No matter what degree of security is put in place, sensitive data in databases are still vulnerable to attack. A remedy therefore is to turn to cryptographic means of storing data. Encrypting data stored in a database can prevent their disclosure to attackers even if they manage to circumvent the access control mechanism. Database encryption is widely adopted to ensure data privacy, which can prevent attacks from both outside intruders and inside malicious users [16].

We put forward the innovative encryption algorithm, known as Reverse Encryption Algorithm (REA). The proposed encryption algorithm REA is efficient and reliable. It has accomplished security requirements and is fast enough for most widely used software. REA algorithm limits the added time cost for encryption and decryption and at the same time does not degrade the performance of a database system. We also, provide a thorough description of the proposed algorithm and its processes.

This paper observes a method for evaluating performance of our new encryption algorithm REA and compares with the most common encryption algorithms namely: DES, 3DES, RC2, AES and Blowfish. A comparison has been presented for those encryption algorithms at encryption and decryption time. The results show the advantages of the proposed encryption algorithm REA over other encryption algorithms with regards to the encryption and decryption time. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses related work about the performance of the encryption algorithms. Section 3 describes the proposed encryption algorithm REA. Section 4 shows the simulation results for the performance evaluation of our new encryption algorithm REA and compares it with the most common encryption algorithms. Finally, section 5 presents conclusions and future work.
2. Related Work

To give more prospective about the performance of the compared algorithms, this section discusses the results obtained from other resources. It was concluded in [7] that AES is faster and more efficient than other encryption algorithms. When the transmission of data is considered there is an insignificant difference in the performance of different symmetric key schemes (most of the resources are consumed for data transmission rather than computation). Even under the scenario of data transfer it would be advisable to use AES scheme in case the encrypted data is stored at the other end and decrypted multiple times.

A study in [12], is conducted for different popular secret key algorithms such as DES, 3DES, AES, and Blowfish. They were implemented, and their performance was compared by encrypting input files of varying contents and sizes. The algorithms were tested on two different hardware platforms, to compare their performance. They had conducted it on two different machines: PII 266MHz and P4 2.4GHz. The results showed that Blowfish had a very good performance compared to other algorithms. Also, it showed that AES had a better performance than 3DES and DES. It also, shows that 3DES has almost 1/3 throughput of DES, or in other words it needs 3 times than DES to process the same amount of data [3].

3. The Proposed Encryption Algorithm

REA

We recommend the new encryption algorithm, REA because of its simplicity and efficiency. It can outperform competing algorithms. REA algorithm is limiting the added time cost for encryption and decryption to so as to not degrade the performance of a database system. In this section we provide a comprehensive yet concise algorithm. We also, give a general analysis of the functioning of these structures.

Our new algorithm REA is a symmetric stream cipher that can be effectively used for encryption and safeguarding of data. It takes a variable-length key, making it ideal for securing data. The REA algorithm encryption and decipherment consists of the same operations, only the two operations are different:

1. Added the keys to the text in the encipherment and removed the keys from the text in the decipherment.
2. Executed divide operation on the text by 4 in the encipherment and executed multiple operations on the text by 4 in the decipherment.

We execute divide operation by 4 on the text to narrow the range domain of the ASCII code table at converting the text. The details and working of the proposed algorithm REA are given below.

3.1. Encryption Algorithm of the REA

We will be presenting the steps of the encryption algorithm of the REA Algorithm 1. The following steps are as shown in Figure 1:

- **Step 1:** Input the text and the key.
- **Step 2:** Add the key to the text.
- **Step 3:** Convert the previous text to ASCII code.
- **Step 4:** Convert the previous ASCII code to binary data.
- **Step 5:** Reverse the previous binary data.
- **Step 6:** Gather each 8 bits from the previous binary data and obtain the ascii code from it.
- **Step 7:** Divide the previous ascii code by 4.
- **Step 8:** Obtain the ascii code of the previous result divide and put it as one character.
- **Step 9:** Obtain the remainder of the previous divide and put it as a second character.
- **Step 10:** Return encrypted text.

![Figure 1. Steps of the REA encryption algorithm.](image-url)

Algorithm 1. REA-encryption algorithm

Input: Plaintext (StrValue), Key (StrKey).
Output: Ciphertext (EncryptedData).

1. Add the key to Text (StrKey + StrValue) ---&gt; full string (StrFullVlaue).
2. Convert the Previous Text (StrValue) to ASCII code (hexdata).
3. foreach (byte b in hexdata).
   3.1. Convert the Previous ascii code (hexdata) to binary data (StrChar).
3.2. Switch (StrChar.Length).
   Case 7 ---&gt; StrChar = "0" + StrChar.
   Case 6 ---&gt; StrChar = "00" + StrChar.
   Case 5 ---&gt; StrChar = "000" + StrChar.
   Case 4 ---&gt; StrChar = "0000" + StrChar.
   Case 3 ---&gt; StrChar = "00000" + StrChar.
   Case 2 ---&gt; StrChar = "000000" + StrChar.
   Case 1 ---&gt; StrChar = "0000000" + StrChar.
   Case 0 ---&gt; StrChar = "00000000" + StrChar.
3.3. StrEncrypt += StrChar. (where, StrEncrypt= “”)
4. Reverse the Previous Binary Data (StrEncrypt).
5. For i from 0 to StrValue.Length do the following:
   5.1. if (binarybyte.Length == 8),
5.1.1. Convert the binary data (StrEncrypt) to ascii code and,
5.1.2. Divide the ascii by 4 → the result(first character) and,
5.1.3. The remainder of the previous → second character.
6. Return (EncryptedData).

3.2. Decryption Algorithm of the REA

We will be presenting the steps of the decryption algorithm of the REA Algorithm 2. The following steps are as shown in Figure 2:

- **Step 1**: Input the encrypted text and the key.
- **Step 2**: Loop on the encrypted text to obtain ASCII code of characters and add the next character.
- **Step 3**: Multiply ascii code of the first character by 4.
- **Step 4**: Add the next digit (remainder) to the result multiplying operation.
- **Step 5**: Convert the previous ascii code to binary data.
- **Step 6**: Reverse the previous binary data.
- **Step 7**: Gather each 8bits from the previous binary data and obtain the ascii code from it.
- **Step 8**: Convert the previous ascii code to text.
- **Step 9**: Remove the key from the text.
- **Step 10**: Return decrypted data.

- **Algorithm 2. REA-decryption algorithm**

**Input:** Ciphertext (EncryptedData), the Key (StrKey).
**Output:** Plaintext (DecryptedData).

1. For (i = 0; i < EncryptedData.Length; i++)
   1.1. Get the ascii code of the encrypted text
   1.2. newascii=(EncryptedData[i]*4)+the next digit(remainder)[i+1].
2. Foreach (byte b in newascii).
   1.1. Convert the previous ascii code (newascii) to binary data (StrChar).
   1.2. Switch (StrChar.Length).
      Case 4 →→ StrChar = "0000" + StrChar.
      Case 3 →→ StrChar = "00000" + StrChar.
      Case 2 →→ StrChar = "000000" + StrChar.
      Case 1 →→ StrChar = "0000000" + StrChar.
      Case 0 →→ StrChar = "00000000" + StrChar.

3. Reverse the Previous Binary Data (StrDecrypt).
4. For i from 0 to StrDecrypt.Length do the following:
   4.1. if (binarybyte.Length == 8).
      4.1.1. Convert the binary data (StrChar) to ascii code (hexdata) and,
      4.1.2. Convert the previous ascii code (hexdata) to the text (StrFullVlaue).
6. Return (DecryptedData).

3.3. REA: An Examples Cipher

We have two examples on which we have applied our new encryption algorithm REA on the text and database.

3.3.1. Text

The first example on which we applied our new encryption algorithm REA is on the text, the explanation has been provided below:

The text is: “Welcome! Mousa1976”
The key is: “123” (It takes a variable-length key)

3.3.1.1. Encipherment

1. Add the key to the text: 123Welcome! Mousa1976.
2. Convert the previous text to ASCII code: 1 --->49, 2 --->50, 3 --->51, W --->87, e --->101 ....
3. Convert the previous ascii code to binary data: 00110001 00110010 00110011 01010111 01100101 ....
4. Reverse the previous binary data: 11001110 11001101 11001100 10101000 10011010 ....
5. Gather each 8 bits from the previous binary data and obtain the ASCII code of it: 206 205 204 168 154....
6. Divide the previous ASCII code by 4 and obtain the ASCII of the result(put it as one ascii character) and obtain the remainder (put it as second character).

- 206/4=51--->3 and the remainder (next digit)=2 (put its as 32).
- 205/4=51--->3 and the remainder (next digit)=1 (put its as 31).
- 204/4=51---> and the remainder (next digit)=0 (put its as 30).
- 168/4=42--->* and the remainder (next digit)=0 (put its as *0).
- 154/4=38 --& and the remainder (nextdigit)=2 (put its as &2.
- Etc.
7. Encrypted text is as shows Figure 3: 323130*0&237*250"20232122021
2.3.1.2 Decipherment

Algorithm REA.
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Multiply ascii code of the first character by 4 and get the reminder of the previous and put it as second character

First Ascii: 222 ----- After Divide:55 ----- New Ascii: 7 ----- Reminder: 2

Encrypted Text is: 323130*0&2$3'0$0$2&27273,2$0"2#0'232122021

First Ascii: 201 ----- After Divide:50 ----- New Ascii: 2 ----- Reminder: 1

First Ascii: 198 ----- After Divide:49 ----- New Ascii: 1 ----- Reminder: 2

First Ascii: 138 ----- After Divide:34 ----- New Ascii: " ----- Reminder: 2

First Ascii: 144 ----- After Divide:36 ----- New Ascii: $ ----- Reminder: 0

First Ascii: 178 ----- After Divide:44 ----- New Ascii: , ----- Reminder: 2

First Ascii: 223 ----- After Divide:55 ----- New Ascii: 7 ----- Reminder: 3

First Ascii: 154 ----- After Divide:39 ----- New Ascii: ' ----- Reminder: 0

Please Enter the Key:

get the reminder of the previous and put it as second character and get the ascii of the result(one character)and

switches to the code is: 154=38*4+2

the next digit(remainder)= 2 then new ASCII

The first character=3---> ASCII code is: 51 and the next digit(remainder)= 2 then new ASCII code is: 206=51*4+2

the next digit(remainder)= 1 then new ASCII code is: 205=51*4+1

the first character=3---> ASCII code is: 51 and the next digit(remainder)= 0 then new ASCII code is: 204=51*4+0

the first character=4---> ASCII code is: 42 and the next digit(remainder)= 0 then new ASCII code is: 168=42*4+0

the first character=8---> ASCII code is: 38 and the next digit(remainder)= 2 then new ASCII code is: 154=38*4+2

Etc.

Add the Key to Text: 123Welcome/ Mousa1976

Convert the Previous Text to ASCII

Please Enter the Text: 123Welcome/ Mousa1976

Convert the Previous Text to ASCII

Please Enter the Key: 123

Begin Encryption

Add the Key to Text: 123Welcome/ Mousa1976

Convert the Previous Text to ASCII

Please Enter the Text: Welcome! Mousa1976

Remove the Key from Text: 123Welcome/ Mousa1976

Remove the Key from Text: 123Welcome! Mousa1976

Convert Binary Data to Text

Figure 3. Running the program of the proposed encryption algorithm REA.

3.3.2. Database

The second example on which we applied our new encryption algorithm REA is on database Microsoft SQL Server 2005 is called “Northwind_Plaintext”, the programming tasks were built by Microsoft Visual Studio 2005.net.

We encrypted some fields from the database “Northwind_Plaintext” by the most common encryption algorithms namely: DES, 3DES, RC2, AES, Blowfish and our new algorithm REA. These encryption and decryption achieved by our simulation is there exist in the section 4.

The keys are used in the encryption kept safe in the table encrypted by our new algorithm REA as shown in Figure 5. Only the administrator user will get these keys by entering the password as shown in Figure 6. After the administrator enters the password and selects the database “Northwind_Plaintext” only then, will the administrator be able to see the table of the keys encrypted in the database “Northwind_Plaintext” from Microsoft SQL Server as shown in Figure 7.

Figure 4. Running the program of the proposed decryption algorithm REA.
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Figure 5. Encrypted fields in the keys table with the proposed algorithm REA.

Figure 6. Login of the administrator to get the keys.

Figure 7. Keys table is decrypted with the proposed algorithm REA.

Figure 8. Encrypted the field with the proposed encryption algorithm REA.

Figure 9. Decrypted the field with the proposed decryption algorithm REA.

4. Simulation Results

A typical case study is studied in this section, to give the performance evaluation of a new algorithm REA and to compare it with the most common encryption algorithms namely: DES, 3DES, RC2, AES and Blowfish.

A comparison has been conducted for those encryption algorithms at encryption and decryption time. The encryption time is considered the time that an encryption algorithm takes to produce a ciphertext from plaintext. It indicates the speed of encryption. The decryption time is considered the time that decryption algorithm takes to produce a plaintext from ciphertext. Also, it indicates the speed of decryption.

All our experiments were done on laptop IV 2.0GHz Intel processor with 1MB cache memory, 1GB of memory, and one Disk drive 120GB. The Operating System which was used is Microsoft Windows 7 professional. The simulation results were executed based on the database Microsoft SQL Server 2005 is “Northwind_Plaintext”, which contains seven tables. The programming tasks were built by Microsoft Visual Studio 2005.net. In the experiments, the laptop encrypts a different field size ranges from 77 rows to 2155 rows from different tables in the database “Northwind_Plaintext” see in Table 1. The performance metrics of the encryption time and decryption time have been collected below.

We encrypted ten different fields shown in Table 1 with the proposed encryption algorithm REA and calculated elapsed time for each one. Then, we calculated the averages of the elapsed times shown in Table 2. We repeated this step on other encryption algorithms namely: DES, 3DES, RC2, AES and Blowfish. The Figure 8 has shown one step from ten of our new encryption algorithm REA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Name</th>
<th>Field Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suppliers</td>
<td>ContactName</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orders</td>
<td>Freight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products</td>
<td>UnitPrice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products</td>
<td>QuantityPerUnit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order Details</td>
<td>UnitPrice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order Details</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LargeText</td>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>ContactName</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>ContactTitle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We decrypted the same ten different fields shown in Table 1 with our new decryption algorithm REA and calculated elapsed time for each one. Then, we calculated the averages of the elapsed times shown in Table 3. We repeated this step on other decryption algorithms namely: DES, 3DES, RC2, AES and Blowfish. The Figure 9 has shown one step from ten of our new decryption algorithm REA.
The results for this comparison are shown on Table 2 and Figure 10 at the encryption time and Table 3 and Figure 11 at the decryption time. A first point; the results show the superiority of REA algorithm over other algorithms in terms of the encryption and decryption time. A second point; that Blowfish requires less encryption and decryption time than all algorithms except REA. A third point; that AES has an advantage over other 3DES, DES and RC2. A fourth point; that 3DES has low performance in terms of encryption and decryption time when compared with DES. It requires always more time than DES because of its triple phase encryption characteristics. A final point; it is found that RC2 has low performance in terms of encryption and decryption time when compared with other five algorithms.

Table 2. Comparative elapsed times (milliseconds) of encryption algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DES</th>
<th>3DES</th>
<th>RC2</th>
<th>AES</th>
<th>BF</th>
<th>REA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.063</td>
<td>4.469</td>
<td>4.513</td>
<td>3.297</td>
<td>2.544</td>
<td>1.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.609</td>
<td>4.848</td>
<td>4.594</td>
<td>3.047</td>
<td>2.671</td>
<td>2.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.906</td>
<td>17.547</td>
<td>17.328</td>
<td>15.454</td>
<td>12.452</td>
<td>12.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.960</td>
<td>3.203</td>
<td>3.531</td>
<td>2.688</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td>2.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.922</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>4.2419</td>
<td>4.6707</td>
<td>4.7315</td>
<td>4.0074</td>
<td>3.2430</td>
<td>3.1207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Averages elapsed times of each encryption algorithm.

Overall, the results showed that REA has a very good performance compared to other algorithms. Also, it showed that Blowfish and AES have a better performance than DES, 3DES, and RC2.

Table 3. Comparative elapsed times (milliseconds) of decryption algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DES</th>
<th>3DES</th>
<th>RC2</th>
<th>AES</th>
<th>BF</th>
<th>REA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.063</td>
<td>4.469</td>
<td>4.513</td>
<td>4.103</td>
<td>3.471</td>
<td>1.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.672</td>
<td>4.922</td>
<td>5.172</td>
<td>4.272</td>
<td>3.816</td>
<td>3.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.312</td>
<td>3.891</td>
<td>3.906</td>
<td>3.319</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>2.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>4.8489</td>
<td>5.4806</td>
<td>5.7202</td>
<td>4.2682</td>
<td>3.7685</td>
<td>3.3049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. Averages elapsed times of each decryption algorithm.

5. Conclusions and Future Work

It is necessary to consider the evident discrimination between the cryptograph for database security and the traditional cryptograph security. Encrypting sensitive data in the database becomes more and more crucial for protecting from being misused by intruders who bypass conventional access control mechanisms and have direct access to the database files. For this, we propose, in this paper to address this issue and contribute the following.

First, we will introduce a new encryption algorithm, which we call REA, restating its benefits and functions over other similar encryption algorithms. REA algorithm is limiting the added time cost for encryption and decryption so as to not degrade the performance of a database system.

Second, it examines a method for evaluating the performance of our new encryption algorithm REA and compares it with the most common encryption algorithms namely: DES, 3DES, RC2, AES and Blowfish. A comparison has been conducted for those encryption algorithms at encryption and decryption time. The encryption time is considered the time that an encryption algorithm takes to produce a ciphertext from plaintext. It indicates the speed of encryption. The decryption time is considered the time that decryption algorithm takes to produce a plaintext from ciphertext. Also, it indicates the speed of decryption. The results show the superiority of REA algorithm over other algorithms in terms of the encryption and decryption time.

In the future work, we are interested in extending our new encryption algorithm REA to support query processing performance on encrypted database. In addition, we would like to extend and apply our new encryption algorithm REA in other kind of databases such as distributed DBMSs and object oriented DBMSs.
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